

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

				•		
APPLICATION NO	. F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/664,982 09/17/2003		09/17/2003	David Chong Sook Lim	112055-0040P1	4640	
24267	7590	12/04/2006	·	EXAMINER		
		KENNA, LLP I AVENUE	ANDUJAR, LEONARDO			
BOSTON,				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2826		
•				DATE MAILED: 12/04/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/664,982	LIM ET AL.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Leonardo Andújar	2826			

•	Leonardo Andújar	2826					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>10 January 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.					
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which. FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) \bowtie The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	•						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origin than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	aliance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two month	s of the date of				
filing the Notice of Appeal Was filed on A blick in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered b	ecause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).						
1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 1,4,5 and 8.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	(1) - Common of the Antonia & Clare of the common his	. 4' 					
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
		Jeonardo Andujar					

Art Unit: 2826

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Claim 1 now recites that the electrical conductive runs substantially under the die, that the first substrate contacts are located adjacent to the first side of the die whereas the second contacts are are located adjacted to the opposite side; claim 4 now recites that the the electrical die contacts are arrangended along a first and opposite side of the die, that the electrical conductive runs substantially under the die, that the first substrate contacts are located adjacent to the first side of the die whereas the second contacts are are located adjacted to the opposite side. These added limitations raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.